# MORPHOLOGICAL EVOLUTION OF M<sub>0</sub>S<sub>2</sub> NANOSHEETS BY CHEMICAL VAPOR DEPOSITION

### X. WANG, Y. P. ZHANG<sup>\*</sup>, Z. Q. CHEN

Faculty of Materials and Energy, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China

 $MoS_2$  nanosheets are synthesized using a low-pressure chemical vapor deposition (CVD) system at elevated temperature on Si substrates with S and MoO<sub>3</sub> power as its precursors. SEM and XRD measurements indicate that the morphology and structure of the deposited  $MoS_2$  nanosheets are highly dependent on the spatial location of silicon substrate, with the preferred crystalline orientation varied from (108) to (201) as the sample further from the sulphur source. XPS shows that the  $MoO_2$  constituent increases slightly as the distance further away from the sulphur source. The nanostructured  $MoS_2$  film exhibits strong photoluminescence in the visible range. This paper may provide new insight into our understanding the kinetic dynamics related to the  $MoS_2$  growth.

(Received June 11, 2016; Accepted August 17, 2016)

*Keywords*: Transition Metal Dichalcogenides, MoS<sub>2</sub>, Nanosheets, Chemical Vapor Deposition

## 1. Introduction

Layered materials have attracted extensive attention and found promising applications for sensors, catalysis, and energy storage because of their unique structure with high epecific surface area and exotic physical and chemical properties.<sup>1-2</sup> Besides the zero-bandgap graphene, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) and transition metal oxides (TMOs) are two important materials with the two-dimensional layered structure.<sup>3-4</sup> Researchers have made every endeavors in developing reliable and flexible approaches for obtaining thin layer MoS<sub>2</sub>, and explored a variety of techniques in synthesizing MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets, such as exfoliation,<sup>5-6</sup> hydrothermal synthesis,<sup>7</sup> physical vapor deposition (PVD) and chemical vapor deposition (CVD). <sup>8-13</sup> Among these methods, chemical vapor deposition has been one of the most promising methods of producing large-area and high-quality  $MoS_2$  thin films and nanomaterials. The precursors and deposition parameters used in CVD have great affects on the structural mophology of MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. Bulk MoS<sub>2</sub> has an indirect bandgap of 1.2 eV, but for layered  $MoS_2$ , its bandgap increases as the layer number decreases, and the monolayer  $MoS_2$  has a direct bandgap of 1.9 eV.<sup>1-4</sup> Besides bulk and monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>, nanoscale MoS<sub>2</sub> has ample property variations by tuning the structural changes and find new potential applications. Therefore, it is of great importance to achieve the controlled growth of  $MoS_2$  nanosheets and get deeper insight into the reaction mechanism since the process is complex and related to many factors.

In this paper, we report the effect of the substrate positions on the structural mophologies of  $MoS_2$  nanosheets in a CVD system. Large-area of  $MoS_2$  nanosheets were obtained using low-pressure CVD with the precursors of sulphur and  $MoO_3$  powder and characterized by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), Photoluminescence (PL) and Raman spectroscopy.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: zhangyyping@yahoo.com

## 2. Experimental methods

The experimental setup for the synthesis of  $MoS_2$  nanosheets are illustrated in Fig. 1. The quartz tube with smaller diameter (D=20 mm) was used to ensure sufficient reaction of the vapor of S and MoO<sub>3</sub>. Two grams of sulphur powder was put in a ceramic boat and placed at the inlet of CVD furnance 30 cm away from the center, while 50 mg of MoO<sub>3</sub> powder was put in another ceramic boat and located at the centre of furnance. The cleaned four Si substrates (sonication in acetone, alcohol for 10 min each and subsequent dried by air-blow) were faced up and placed at different position in the small quartz tube. The insulating plug placed between the MoO<sub>3</sub> and the sulfur can prevent S powder from evaporating too quickly. During the synthesis of MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets, the temperature of the reaction chamber was slowly heated to 750°C (20°C/min) and kept for 60 min and then the furnace was naturally cooled down to room temperature. The process was carried with an argon environment (100 sccm) at a chamber pressure of 100 Pa.



Fig. 1. Schematic illustration of low-pressure CVD system and the sample position.

The structural mophology of resulting products was observed using field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) (JMS-7800F, JEOL Ltd, Japan) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) (XRD-7000, Shimadzu). The chemical composition and oxidation state were studied using X-ray photoeletron spectroscopy (XPS) (ESCALAB250Xi, ThermoFisher Ltd). The pass energy for survey scan is 100 eV, and for Mo 3d and S 2p is 20 eV. The Raman and PL spectra were obtained by using a Renishaw inVia 2000 micro-Raman system at room temperature in an ambient air. The excitation laser line is 532 nm.

### 3. Results and discussion

Figure 2 shows the SEM images of  $MoS_2$  nanosheets deposited on the Si(100) substrates located at different positions. The deposited material, as shown in Fig. 2(a)-(d), is composed of numerous densely grown, well-faceted, well-distributed, semi-vertically and interleaving lamellar nanosheets with rough edges. As the distance from the sulphur source increases, the thicknesses of  $MoS_2$  nanosheets gradually decrease from 100-200 nm to 40-60 nm and the lateral sizes from around 6 µm to 1µm. The surface edges become rougher with sample further from the sulphur source. As the insertion shown in Fig. 2(a), the deposition of  $MoS_2$  nanosheets is continuous on the entire Si surface (1 cm<sup>2</sup>) which shows a high material yield and a controllable nanosheet morphology.



*Fig. 2.The SEM images of the* MoS<sub>2</sub> *nanosheets of 4 samples placed at different positions.* (*a*) Sample 1, (*b*) Sample 2, (*c*) Sample 3, and (*d*) Sample 4.

XRD was employed to characterize the lattice structure of rusulting products, which is shown in Fig. 3. Figure 3(a) shows the patterns of MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets in the range of 10-80°. Because the the peak intensities differed too widely, Figure 3(b) and (c) give the enlarged diffraction peaks in the range of 10° to 65° and 65° to 75°, respectively. The XRD patterns show that the samples are mainly composed of MoS<sub>2</sub> and a small amount of MoO<sub>2</sub> crystals. The diffraction peaks (20) positioned at 14.5°, 68.8°, 69.4°, and 69.7° are ascribed to the lattice planes (002), (200), (108) and (201) of the crystalline 2H-MoS<sub>2</sub> (JCPDS card no.75-1539).<sup>14</sup> The peaks (20) at 18.5°, 26.1°, 37.4°, and 57.6° are attributed to the lattice planes (100), (110), (111), and (300) of MoO<sub>2</sub> (JCPDS card no.76-1807). As the distance of the samples from the sulphur source increases, the peak intensity for MoO<sub>2</sub>(111) increases slightly. Meanwhile, the peak for MoS<sub>2</sub>(108) decreases, and the peak for MoS<sub>2</sub>(201) increases as the distance from sulphur source increases.



Fig. 3. XRD patterns of the  $MoS_2$  nanosheets for Samples 1-4 for the diffraction angle (2 $\theta$ ) in the range of (a) 10-80°, (b) 10-65°, and (c) 65-75°.



Fig. 4. XPS spectra of the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets of Sample 1-4. (a) survey scan, and the spectra of (b) Mo 3d, (c) S 2p and (d) O 1s.

XPS is a powful technique to provide the composition of the surface region, and distinguishes the different chemical states of one element. Figure 4 shows the XPS spectra of the four samples placed at different positions. The survey scan in Fig. 4(a) only shows the peaks derived from the elements of Mo, S, O, and C. The C 1s signal represents the adventitious carbon. The ratio of O/Mo increases as the distance of samples from sulphur source increases, which is consistent with the XRD results. The Mo 3d spectra are shown in Fig. 4(b), in which the small peaks at binding energy of 226.7 eV are due to the electrons of S 2s corresponding to MoS<sub>2</sub>.<sup>15-16</sup> The peak at 235.8 eV of Mo 3d<sub>3/2</sub> for Sample 4 is ascribed to the existence of Mo-O bond of Mo<sup>6+</sup>, it is due to the distance of sample 4 from the sulfur source is the greatest and surface oxidation. The main peaks at binding energy of 229.5 eV and 232.6 eV are attributed to Mo 3d doublet peaks of  $3d_{5/2}$  and  $3d_{3/2}$  which are consistent with Mo<sup>4+</sup> in MoS<sub>2</sub>.<sup>15-16</sup> Additionally, the peaks at 162.3 eV and 163.5 eV in the S 2p spectra in Fig. 4(c) are ascribed to S 2p doublets of  $2p_{3/2}$  and  $2p_{1/2}$ . In Fig. 4(d), the O 1s core level spectra of the four samples, the weak peaks located at 532.2 eV presence in a very small portion which is most likely related to physically adsorbed oxygen molecules. The peaks at the bingding energy of 530.1 eV are caused by O<sup>2-</sup> oxidation state in MoO<sub>2</sub>, meanwhile, as the distance of the samples from the sulphur source increases, the peak intensity for O 1s expect adsorbed O increases slightly. Moreover, if the sensitive factors for Mo 3d and S 2p are selected as 2.867 and 0.57, respectively, the calculated stoichiometric ratio of S/Mo is approximately 1.76, which is comparable to the reported values.<sup>17-18</sup>

In the chemical vapor reaction process,  $MoO_3$  presumably changes to  $MoO_2$  at the sulphur envrionment. Afterwards,  $MoO_2$  is further sulphurized to  $MoS_2$  by reacting with the sulphur vapor.<sup>18</sup> Our experiments show the morphology and composition of the resulting  $MoS_2$  nanosheets are related to the S/Mo atomic ration in the reaction atomsphere. As the sample positioned further to the sulphur source, the  $MoO_2$  constituent increases slightly. The sulphur vapor concentration alone the small quatz tube drops as the sample distance further from the sulphur source. Therefore, the  $MoO_2$  in Sample 1 is fully sulphurized into  $MoS_2$ , while the  $MoO_2$  in Sample 4 is only partially sulphurized.

These  $MoS_2$  nanosheets were further characterized by Raman spectroscopy and photoluminescence (PL), shown in Fig. 5. In Raman spectra, both  $A_{1g}$  and  $E_{2g}^1$  vibrational modes are associated with out-of-plane vibration of sulfur atoms and in-plane vibration of Mo and S atoms, respectively.<sup>19</sup> The fitting results for Raman spectra in Fig. 5(a) show that these two modes are located at 382 and 407 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the 2H-MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets in Sample 1, and the frequency difference is about 25 cm<sup>-1</sup> which is confirmed by XRD measurements as well. As for Sample 2, these two modes are located at 384 and 406 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, and the frequency difference is about 22 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The frequency difference between the two prominent Raman modes ( $A_{1g}$  and  $E_{2g}^1$ ) has been known closely related with the layer number of MoS<sub>2</sub>, therefore, our Raman resultes indicating the nanosheets consists of many MoS<sub>2</sub> layers stacked together. The peak at 365 cm<sup>-1</sup> is attributed to the stretching vibration of molybdyl (Mo=O),<sup>20</sup> and its intensity is stronger than that of Sample 1. That is consistent with the results of XPS.



Fig. 5. Raman (a) and Photoluminescence (b) spectra of the  $MoS_2$  nanosheets of the two samples placed at different positions.

PL spectra for the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets are shown in Fig. 5(b), in which a distinct peak at 671 nm (1.85 eV) is observed. Compared with Sample 1, the PL peak for Sample 2 is about 667 nm. The PL intensity could depend on many parameters such as height, strain, thickness, the number of layers and defects of nanosheets. It has been reported that the indirect gap bulk  $MoS_2$  and  $MoS_2$  nanosheets with thickness greater than 5 nm do not exhibit photoluminescence.<sup>21</sup> Surprisingly, the as-synthesized  $MoS_2$  nanosheets still exhibit PL signal though their thickness are about 100 nm from the SEM observation. The PL intensity could depend on many parameters including thickness, strain, defects, and height of nanosheets. The thickness of the synthesized  $MoS_2$  nanosheets is about 100 nm from the SEM observation, and surprisingly these nanosheets still exhibit PL signal. The observed PL signal for thick nanosheets may be due to the structural discontinuity at the nanosheet edges, which induces variation of the electronic structure of  $MoS_2$  nanosheets.<sup>22</sup>

### 4. Conclusions

Large-area  $MoS_2$  nanosheets were successfully synthesized by low-pressure CVD using sulphur and  $MoO_3$  powder as precursors at elevated temperature. The whole sample was covered with high-quality crystalline  $MoS_2$  nanosheets and the stoichiometric ratio of  $MoS_2$ . The further

the distance of sample from the sulphur source, the smaller the width and size of the  $MoS_2$  nanosheets. As the distance from sulphur inceases, the preferred crystalline orientation changes to (210) from (108), and the  $MoO_2$  constituent increases slightly. The nanostructured  $MoS_2$  film exhibits strong photoluminescence in the visible range due to its sharp edges. This paper may provide new insight into our understanding the kinetic dynamics on  $MoS_2$  growth.

#### Acknowledgement

Project supported by the National Natural Sience Foundation of China (Grant No. 21173170) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universites, China (Grant No. XDJK2015D001).

#### References

- [1] B. Radisavljevic, A. Radenovic, J. Brivio, et al. Nat. Nanotechnol. 6, 147 (2011).
- [2] K. F. Mak, C. G. Lee, J. Hone, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 136805 (2010).
- [3] Q. H. Wang, K. Kalantar-Zadeh, A. Kis, et al. Nat. Nanotechnol. 7, 699 (2012).
- [4] Y. H. Lee, X. Q. Zhang, W. J. Zhang, et al. Adv. Mater. 24, 2320 (2012).
- [5] J. N. Coleman, M. Lotya, A. O'Neill, et al. Science 331, 568 (2011).
- [6] R. J. Smith, P. J. King, M. Lotya, et al. Adv. Mater. 23, 3944 (2011).
- [7] L. J. Ye, H. Y. Xu, D. K. Zhang, et al. Mater. Res. Bull. 55, 221 (2014).
- [8] H. Liu, M. W. Si, S. Najmaei, et al. Nano Lett. 13, 2640 (2013).
- [9] X. S. Wang, H. B. Feng, Y. M. Wu, et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 5304 (2013).
- [10] Y. J. Zhan, Z. Liu, S. Najmaei, et al. Small 8, 966 (2012).
- [11] Y. F. Yu, C. Li, Y. Liu, et al. Sci. Rep. 3, 1866 (2013).
- [12] J. Mann, Q. Ma, P. M. Odenthal, et al. Adv. Mater. 26, 1399 (2014).
- [13] X. Ling, Y. H. Lee, Y. X. Lin, et al. Nano Lett. 14, 464 (2014).
- [14] W. X. Gu, J. Y. Shen, X. Y. Ma, Nanoscale Res. Lett. 9, 100 (2014).
- [15] B. Cho, A. R. Kim, Y. Park, et al. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 7, 2952 (2015).
- [16] S. McDonnell, R. Addou, C. Buie, et al. ACS Nano 3, 2880 (2014).
- [17] H. T. Lin, X. Y. Chen, H. L. Li, et al. Mater. Lett. 64, 1748 (2010).
- [18] D. Q. Gao, M. S. Si, J. Y. Li, et al. Nanoscale Res. Lett. 8, 129 (2013).
- [19] X. L. Li, Y. D. Li, Chem. Eur. J. 9, 2726 (2003).
- [20] X. Ling, Y. H. Lee, Y. Lin, et al. Nano Lett. 14, 464 (2014).
- [21] G. Eda, H. Yamaguchi, D. Voiry, et al. Nano Lett. 11, 5111 (2011).
- [22] G. Deokar, D. Vignaud, R. Arenal, P. Louette, J. F. Colomer, Nanotechnology 27, 075604 (2016).