

STRUCTURAL AND ELECTRICAL PROPERTIES OF THE CHALCOGENIDE GLASSES $\text{Bi}_{30}\text{Se}_{(70-x)}\text{Te}_x$ SYSTEM

Z. ABDEL- KHALEK ALI*, GH. ADEL, A.S. ABD-RBO
Physics department, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University for girls, Cairo, Egypt.

Samples with compositions $\text{Bi}_{30}\text{Se}_{(70-x)}\text{Te}_x$ ($x = 35, 40, 45$) were prepared by the melt quenching technique. X -ray diffraction patterns were obtained for the annealed samples in powder form at room temperature. Structural characterization by X-ray their rhombohedral structure with hexagonal $\bar{3}$ diffraction indicates m. The lattice lattice and a space group R parameters (a and c) and the unit cell volume were calculated. However, there is corresponding increase of the lattice parameters as the Te content increases. The change of the electrical conductivity with temperature for the annealed samples was also investigated. A sharp increase of the electrical conductivity and a corresponding decrease of the activation energy of conduction were detected with increasing Te content from 30 to 40.

(Received May 3, 2009; accepted June 18, 2009)

Keywords: Chalcogenide glass; Diffraction; Electrical Conductivity

1. Introduction

Amorphous materials especially the chalcogenide glasses (Se, Te and S) are the promising materials receiving increasingly attention in view of the fabrication of various solid state devices [1-3].

New applications of amorphous materials and optical recording have been possible due to recent in the technique improvements of preparations. The bonding arrangement in the amorphous materials is not well defined as they lack long range order. Due to this, it is difficult to understand the conduction mechanism in these chalcogenide glasses.

Transport properties of chalcogenide glasses strongly depend on the nature and the degree of short range order and are not significantly affected by the loss of long range order. As chalcogenide glasses have poor thermo-mechanical properties, in order to enlarge their domain of applications, it is necessary to increase their softening temperature and mechanical strength. Researches [4-5] have been done in the domain transformation of chalcogenides. Often, attempts have been made by changing the compositions [6] and introducing some extra elements [7] into binary alloys. The effect of the additives on the properties of the binary system is, therefore, of great importance.

In the present work, we have studied the structural and electrical properties of $\text{Bi}_{30}\text{Se}_{(70-x)}\text{Te}_x$ system. Among all the chalcogens, Se is one of the most important chalcogen elements, as it has applications in electrophotography. The pure Se has some disadvantages due to its short life time and low sensitivity, which can be improved by incorporation of group III, IV, V, and VI elements such as Ga, In, Ge, As, Sb, Bi, Te, S, etc. .The work on binary Se-alloys have already been reported [8-12]. Se-Te has been used as a base material and incorporation of Bi as a third element has been studied [13]. The introduction of third element expands the glass forming area, and also, creates compositional and configurational disorder in the system. Generally, the binary alloys are covalent in nature and the addition of third element creates iono-covalent bonds and as a result the conduction increases .We have chosen Bi because of its

special role on the type of conduction [14]. Tohge et al. [15] and Nagels et al. [16] reported that the type of conduction changes from p to n-type when $\text{Bi} \geq 7$ at %. This change is related to the chemistry of the system and consequently a change in the Fermi level [17]. Several workers have added different elements to Se-Bi system [18-22], and most of these materials exhibit a p-type transition [23-25].

To observe the influence of Bi on the transport properties in (Bi Te Se) alloys, we have decided to study the structural and electrical properties in $(\text{Bi}_{30}\text{Se}_{(70-x)}\text{Te}_x)$ alloys ($x = 35, 40, 45$). The study includes measurements of X-ray diffraction and dc electrical conductivity during heating – cooling consecutive cycles. The expected chemical bonds and cohesive energy is given.

2. Experimental techniques

High purity (99.999%) Bi, Te and Se in appropriate atomic percentages were weighted on a mono pan balance having an accuracy of ± 0.0001 gm.

The synthesis process of the constituent elements was carried out in silica ampoules. The latter were sealed under vacuum (10^{-4} torr) and heated in a furnace where the temperature was raised to 800°C for 10 hours for all the samples. During the synthesis, the molten was shaken to ensure a homogeneous mixture. The molten samples were then rapidly quenched in iced water to produce a glassy solid. The glassy nature of the quenched ingots was confirmed by using Philips X-ray diffractometer type (PW 1373) with $\text{CuK}\alpha$ radiation and Ni filter. The dc electrical conductivity measurements were carried out using a special design Pyrex cell supported with two fixed tungsten electrodes. A programmable electrometer model Keithly 617 was used to measure the ohmic resistance of the samples.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 X-ray diffraction

Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the as prepared samples are shown in fig. (1). The absence of diffraction lines confirms the amorphous structure of the compounds.




Fig. (1): X-ray diffraction patterns for $\text{Bi}_{30}\text{Se}_{(70-x)}\text{Te}_x$ samples

In order to investigate the crystalline structure of the compositions under study, all the samples were annealed at a temperature 200°C for 8 hours and then slowly cooled down to room temperature. Fig. (2), presents the X-ray diffraction diagrams carried out for the annealed compositions at room temperature. The experimental X-ray data, the inter planer spacing (d) and the relative intensity (I / I_0), for the crystallized samples are given in table (1). For indexing the patterns of the investigated samples from the shape of the spectrum, many trials have been made. The best one was to assume the samples to have rhombohedral unit cell $\text{Bi}_2 \text{Te}_3$ type, $\bar{3}$ tetradymite group [26], with space m, and consists of five stacked layers of atoms along the group symmetry R c-axis of the hexagonal lattice [27]. As observed from the X-ray diffraction patterns, all reflections obey the rhombohedral criteria [28]:

$$-h + k + l = 3n \quad (1)$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$




Fig. (2): X –ray diffraction pattern for the annealed samples

Table (1): The values of d and I / I_0 for all the studied samples

$\text{Bi}_{30} \text{Te}_{35} \text{Se}_{35}$		$\text{Bi}_{30} \text{Te}_{40} \text{Se}_{30}$		$\text{Bi}_{30} \text{Te}_{45} \text{Se}_{25}$		h k l
d	I / I_0	d	I / I_0	d	I / I_0	
9.371	31	9.479	30	9.615	37	0 0 3
4.739	100	4.772	100	4.821	100	0 0 6
3.790	20	3.812	13	3.829	12	1 0 1

3.529	17	3.547	12	3.579	10	0 1 2
3.175	23	3.190	35	3.226	27	1 0 4
3.120	32	3.124	28	3.187	27	0 0 9
3.025	16	3.041	13	3.062	11	0 1 5
2.533	26	2.539	21	2.555	19	0 1 8
2.238	17	2.245	15	2.259	12	1 0 10
2.109	12	2.113	11	2.127	11	0 1 11
2.066	17	2.076	15	2.086	12	1 1 0
1.915	19	1.918	16	1.932	14	0 0 15
1.804	12	1.802	11	1.791	10	0 2 1
1.709	11	1.714	10	1.721	10	2 0 5

The hexagonal lattice parameters were determined. The unit cell dimensions, the volume of the unit cell and the angle α_R for the investigated compositions were calculated and listed in table (2) where [29]:

$$a_R = \frac{1}{3} (3 a_H^2 + c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\sin \frac{\alpha}{2} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{[3 + (\frac{c}{a_H})^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (3)$$

Table (2): Dependence of the lattice parameters a_H , a_R and c , and the volume of unit cell (V) on composition.

Composition	Lattice Parameter			$V(\text{\AA})^3$	α°
	$a_H(\text{\AA})$	$a_R(\text{\AA})$	$c(\text{\AA})$		
$\text{Bi}_{30} \text{Te}_{35} \text{Se}_{35}$	4.152	9.769	28.412	424.16	24.538
$\text{Bi}_{30} \text{Te}_{40} \text{Se}_{30}$	4.165	9.834	28.607	429.754	24.451
$\text{Bi}_{30} \text{Te}_{45} \text{Se}_{25}$	4.193	9.916	28.847	439.206	24.412

It is found that the lattice parameter (a) shows a slight increase with increasing Te content, gradually from 4.152 \AA for the compound $\text{Bi}_{30} \text{Se}_{35} \text{Te}_{35}$ to 4.193 \AA for the compound $\text{Bi}_{30} \text{Se}_{25} \text{Te}_{45}$. Also the calculated lattice parameter (c) shows a gradual increase from 28.412 \AA for the compound $\text{Bi}_{30} \text{Se}_{35} \text{Te}_{35}$ to 28.847 \AA for the compound $\text{Bi}_{30} \text{Se}_{25} \text{Te}_{45}$. According to the increase in the lattice parameters the calculated unit cell volume increases as the Te content increase. Such changes may be attributed to the atomic radius of the Te ion (1.42 \AA) which is greater than the atomic radius of the Se ion (1.22 \AA).

3.2. DC Electrical Conductivity:

The dc electrical conductivity σ ($\Omega \text{ cm}$)⁻¹ for the crystallized samples has found to be a negative function of temperature expressed by:

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \exp [-\Delta E / k_B T] \quad (4)$$

where σ_0 is the pre-exponential factor, ΔE is the activation energy of conduction, k_B is the Boltzmann's constant and T is the absolute temperature. Fig. (3), shows the temperature dependence of the dc electrical conductivity for all the studied samples. A least square fit is used to represent the experimental points. The activation energies of conduction were computed from the slope of the least square fit. Different values of electrical parameters (activation energy of conduction), $\log \sigma_0$ (the pre-exponential factor) and $\log \sigma_{20}$ (the conductivity at room temperature) are given in table (3). The bond energies $D(A-B)$ for heteronuclear bonds have been calculated by Pouling [30] using the relation :

$$D(A-B) = [D(A-A) D(B-B)]^{1/2} + 30 (\chi_A - \chi_B)^2 \quad (5)$$

where $D(A-A)$ and $D(B-B)$ are the energies of the homonuclear bonds. The $D(A-A)$ values are 47.9 for Bi, 33.0 for Te, and 44.0 for Se [31]. χ_A and χ_B are the electro negativities of the atoms involved where χ_A values used are 1.7 for Bi, 2.10 for Te and 2.4 for Se.




Fig. (3): Relation between $\log \sigma$ and $1000/T$ for all the studied samples

Table (3): Electrical parameters of the studied samples.

Composition	ΔE (eV)	$\log \sigma_0$	$\log \sigma_{20}$
$\text{Bi}_{30}\text{Se}_{35}\text{Te}_{35}$	0.52	-5.265	-6.2
$\text{Bi}_{30}\text{Se}_{30}\text{Te}_{40}$	0.45	-5.54	-5.9
$\text{Bi}_{30}\text{Se}_{25}\text{Te}_{45}$	0.37	-5.81	-5.7

The types of bonds expected to occur together with their bond energies as calculated using equation (5) are Se—Te (40.8 Kcal /mol) and Se—Se (44 Kcal /mol). It was found that the replacement of Se by Te leads to decrease in the activation energy of conduction ΔE , this is because of the replacement of Se—Se bonds (energy bond 44 Kcal /mol) by Te—Se bonds which have a lower bond energy (40.8 Kcal /mol), so, the cohesive energy of the system decreases with increasing Te content and the conduction is easier and hence ΔE will decrease.

4. Conclusions

A study of the effect of Te addition to the system $\text{Bi}_{30}\text{Se}_{(70-x)}\text{Te}_x$ ($x=35, 40, 45$) on the structural and electrical properties has been followed. The results obtained show that:

- 1-All the prepared samples are in the amorphous state.
- 2- In the crystalline state, all the annealed samples have rhombohedral unit cell form with hexagonal lattice constants. The lattice parameters a and c increase as the Te content increase.
- 3- The activation energy of conduction in the crystalline state decreases with increasing Te content.

Acknowledgment

The Authors are grateful to Prof. Dr. K.M.Sharaf for her valuable cooperation and willing assistance.

References

- [1] Matsushita, A. Suzuki, M. Arimoto, M.Okuda,H.Naitg,Jpn.J.Appl.Phys.31 part 1 **10** (1992) 3370.
- [2] L.H. Chou, M.C. Kuo, J.Appl.Phys.**5** (1995)77.
- [3] N. Nobokuni, M. Takashima, T. Ohno, M. Horie, J.Appl.phys.**12** (1995)78.
- [4] C.T. Moynihan, A.J. Easteal, M.A.Debolt, J.Tuker, J.Am.Cram.Soc.59 (1-2) (1976)12.
- [5] C.T. Moynihan, A.J. Easteal, M.A. Debolt, J. Tucker, J.Am.Cream.Soc.**59** (3-4) (1976)137.
- [6] C.T Moynihan, A.J. Easteal, J. Wilder, J. Tucker, J.Phys.Chem.**78** (1974)2637.
- [7] A.R. Hilton, C.E. Hones, Phys. Chem. Glasses **7**(1966)105.
- [8] S.K. Tripathi, A. Kumar, J. Non Cryst. Solids **88** (1988)229.
- [9] Y. Sripathi, G. B.Reddy, L.K. Malhotra, J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. **3**(1992) 164.
- [10] A. Kumar, S. Geol, Phys. Rev. B. **33**(1987)5635.
- [11]L.Cheung, G.M.T. Foley, P. Fournia, B.E. Springagtt, Photogr. Sci. Eng. **26** (1982) 245.
- [12] T.I. Ichikawa, J. Phys. Soc.Jpn.33 (1972)1729.
- [13] Z. H. Khan, M. Zulfequar, M. Husain and Kh. S. Begam, Current applied Physics, **2**(2002) 167.
- [14] V.B. Sapre, C. Mande, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **34** (1973) 1331.
- [15] N.Tohge, T.Minami, Y. Yamimoto, M. Tnaka, J.Appl.phys.**51**(1980)1048.
- [16] P. Nagels, M. Rotti, S. Vikrov, J.de Phys.42 (C4) (1981)907.
- [17] M.M. Malik , M. Zulfequer , A. Kumar , M. Husain, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **4**(1992) 8331.
- [18] N. Tohge, T. Minami, M. Tnaka, J. Non-Cryst. Soids **37** (1980)23.
- [19] T. Takahashi, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **44** (1981)239.
- [20] M. Polcik, J. Drahekoupil, I. Drohlav, L. Tichy, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **192- 193** (1995)380
- [21] A.K. Agnihotri, A. Kumar, A. N. Nigam, J. Non-Cryst. Soilds (1993) 267.

- [22] A.K. Agnihotri, A. Kumar, A.N .Nigam, Mag.**B57** (1988)319.
- [23] J. Grnet, J.P. Larmagnac, P. Michon, C. Vautier, Thin Solid Films **76** (1981) 53.
- [24] C.Vautier, J. M. Saiter, T. Derrey, J. Non- Cryst. Solids 103(1988) 65.
- [25] K.L. Bhatia, M. Snigh, M. Kishore, Phil. Mag. B 73 (1996)385.
- [26] National Bur. Stand. (U.S.) Monogr. 25, 18, 16(1981).
- [27] A.C. Glatz, Syracuse, the American mineralogist, vol.52, January Feb., (1967).
- [28] H. Lipson, H. Steeple, Interpretation of X-ray Powder Diffraction Patterns, Macmillan and Co LTD (1970).
- [29] V. Leonidazaroff, J. Martain Bverger, Hand Book of X- Ray , Mc GRAW HILL Boo k Company Int, New York,(1958).
- [30] L. Pouling, The nature of the chemical bond, Third edition, Cornell University Press, (1960).
- [31] E. Arnold, Comparative Inorganic Chemistry, Third edition, London, New York, (1991).