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The PI polynomial of a molecular graph G is defined as $A+\sum x^{|E(G)|-N(e)}$, where $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{e})$ is the number of edges parallel to e, $A=\frac{|V(G)|(|V(G)|+1)}{2}-|E(G)|$ and summation goes over all edges of G. In this paper, the PI polynomial of the Zig-Zag Polyhex Nanotubes is computed.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a graph with vertex and edge sets $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. As usual, the distance between the vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$ is denoted by $d(u, v)$ and it is defined as the number of edges in a minimal path connecting the vertices $u$ and $v$.

A topological index is a real number related to a graph. It must be a structural invariant, i.e., it is fixed by any automorphism of the graph. There are several topological indices have been defined and many of them have found applications as means to model chemical, pharmaceutical and other properties of molecules.

The Wiener index W is the first topological index to be used in chemistry. It was introduced in 1947 by Harold Wiener, as the path number for characterization of alkanes, [15]. In a graph theoretical language, the Wiener index is equal to the count of all shortest distances in a graph. For a survey in this topic we encourage the reader to consult [8,15].

Let $G$ be a graph and $f=u v$ an edge of $G . n_{f u}(f \mid G)$ denotes the number of edges lying closer to the vertex $u$ than the vertex $v$, and $n_{f v}(f \mid G)$ is the number of edges lying closer to the vertex $v$ than the vertex $u$. The Padmakar-Ivan (PI) index of a graph $G$ is defined as $\operatorname{PI}(\mathrm{G})=\sum[$ $n_{f u}(f \mid G)+n_{f v}(f \mid G)$ ] where summation goes over all edges of $G$ see for details [7,9-11]. On can see that, for every $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{uv} \in \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})$ we define $\operatorname{PI}(\mathrm{f})=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{fu}}(\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{G})+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{fv}}(\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{G})$ and $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{f})=|\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})|-\operatorname{PI}(\mathrm{f})$, Therefore $P I(G)=|E(G)|^{2}-\sum_{f \in E(G)} N(f)$.

In [6], Ashrafi, Manoochehrian and Yousefi-Azari. defined a new polynomial and they named the Padmakar-Ivan polynomial. They abbreviated this new polynomial as $\operatorname{PI}(G, x)$, for a molecular graph $G$ and investigate some of the elementary properties of this polynomial.
Definition. Let $G$ be a connected graph and $u$, v be vertices of $G$. We define:

$$
N(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{fu}}(\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{G})+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{fv}}(\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{G}) & f=u v \in E(G) \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]Then PI polynomial of $G$ is defined as $P I(G, x)=\sum_{\{u, v\} \subseteq V(G)} x^{|E(G)|-N(u, v)}$ and we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P I(G, x) & =\sum_{\{u, v\} \subseteq V(G)} x^{|E(G)|-N(u, v)}=\sum_{(u, v) \in E(G)} x^{|E(G)|-N(u, v)}+\sum_{(u, v) \notin E(G)} 1 \\
& =\sum_{f \in E(G)} x^{P I(f)}+\binom{|V(G)|+1}{2}-|E(G)| \\
& =\sum_{f \in E(G)} x^{|E(G)|-N(f)}+\binom{|V(G)|+1}{2}-|E(G)|
\end{aligned}
$$

In a series of papers [1-5], Ashrafi and Loghman computed PI index of some nanotubes and nanotori. In [12] the authors computed polynomial of some benzenoid graphs. Here we continue this progress to compute the PI polynomial of the zig-zag polyhex nanotubes. Our notation is standard and mainly taken from [13,14]. Throughout this paper $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{TUHC}_{6}[2 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}]$ denotes an arbitrary zig-zag polyhex nanotube, see Figure 1.


Fig. 1: Zig-zag TUHC ${ }_{6}[20, q]$.

## 2. PI Polynomial of TUHC $_{6}[2 p, q]$

In this section, the PI polynomial of the graph $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{TUHC}_{6}[2 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}]$ were computed. From Figures 1 and 2, it is easy to see that $|E(T)|=p(3 q-1)$. In the following theorem we compute the PI polynomial of the molecular graph T in Figure 1.

Theorem. The PI polynomial of zig-zag polyhex nanotube is computed as follows:

$$
\operatorname{PI}(T, x)= \begin{cases}2 p x^{|E(T)|-2(2 p-1)}\left(\frac{2\left(x^{2 p}-1\right)}{x^{2}-1}+q-2 p\right)+p(q-1) x^{p(3 q-2)}+A & q \geq 2 p \\ 2 p x^{|E(T)|-2 q}\left(\frac{2\left(x^{2(q-p+1)}-1\right)}{x^{2}-1}+2 p-q-2\right)+p(q-1) x^{p(3 q-2)}+A & p<q<2 p, \\ 2 p q x^{|E(T)|-2 q}+p(q-1) x^{p(3 q-2)}+A & q \leq p\end{cases}
$$

where $A=\binom{|V(T)|+1}{2}-|E(T)|$.
Proof. To compute the PI polynomial of T, it is enough to calculate N(e). To do this, we consider two cases: that e is horizontal or oblique edge. If $e$ is horizontal a similar proof as Lemma 1 in [1] shows that $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{e})=\mathrm{p}$. Also, by Lemma 2 in [1], if e is an oblique edge in the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ column, $1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{p}$, then $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{e})=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}2 p+2(k-1) & q \geq p+k-1 \\ 2 q & q \leq p+k-1\end{array}\right.$. Therefore we consider $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ denote
the oblique edge of T in the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ row and $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ column. We first notice that for every $\mathrm{i}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{q}-1$, $N\left(E_{i 1}\right)=N\left(E_{i 2}\right)=\ldots=N\left(E_{i(2 p)}\right)$, Figure 2.


Fig. 2. A Zig-Zag Polyhex Lattice with $p=6$ and $q=8$.
Let X and Y are the set of all horizontal and oblique edges of T . It is easy to see that $|\mathrm{X}|=\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1)$ and $|\mathrm{Y}|=2 \mathrm{pq}$. Then Since T is symmetric, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P I(T, x) & =\sum_{f \in E(T)} x^{|E(T)|-N(f)}+A=\sum_{f \in X} x^{|E(T)|-N(f)}+\sum_{f \in Y} x^{|E(T)|-N(f)}+A \\
& =\sum_{f \in X} x^{E(T) \mid-p}+\sum_{f \in Y} x^{|E(T)|-N(f)}+A \\
& =p(q-1) x^{p(3 q-2)}+\sum_{f \in Y} x^{|E(T)|-N(f)}+A
\end{aligned}
$$

For every $e$ in Y, we have three cases:
Case 1. $\mathrm{q} \geq 2 \mathrm{p}$. In this case by Figure 2, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{f \in Y} x^{|E(T)|-N(f)} & =4 p\left(x^{|E(T)|-N\left(E_{11}\right)}+x^{|E(T)|-N\left(E_{11}\right)}+\ldots+x^{|E(T)|-N\left(E_{1 p}\right)}\right)+2 p(q-2 p) x^{|E(T)|-N\left(E_{1 p}\right)} \\
& =4 p x^{|E(T)|-N\left(E_{11}\right)}\left(1+x^{-2}+x^{-4}+\ldots+x^{-2(p-1)}\right)+2 p(q-2 p) x^{|E(T)|-N\left(E_{11}\right)-2(p-1)} \\
& =2 p x^{|E(T)|-4 p+2}\left(\frac{2\left(x^{2 p}-1\right)}{x^{2}-1}+q-2 p\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. $\mathrm{p}<\mathrm{q}<2 \mathrm{p}$. In this case, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{f \in Y} x^{|E(T)| \mid-N(f)} & =4 p\left(x^{|E(T)|-N\left(E_{11}\right)}+x^{|E(T)|-N\left(E_{12}\right)}+\ldots+x^{|E(T)| \mid N\left(E_{1(q-p+1)}\right)}\right) \\
& +2 p(2 p-q-2) x^{|E(T)|-N\left(E_{1(q-p+1)}\right)} \\
& =4 p x^{|E(T)|-N\left(E_{11}\right)}\left(1+x^{-2}+x^{-4}+\ldots+x^{-2(q-p)}\right) \\
& +2 p(2 p-q-2) x^{|E(T)|-N\left(E_{11}\right)-2(q-p)} \\
& =2 p x^{|E(T)|-2 q}\left(\frac{2\left(x^{2(q-p+1)}-1\right)}{x^{2}-1}+2 p-q-2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 3. $\mathrm{q} \leq \mathrm{p}$. In this case, we have:

$$
\sum_{f \in Y} x^{|E(T)|-N(f)}=\sum_{f \in Y} x^{|E(T)|-N\left(E_{11}\right)}=2 p q x^{|E(T)|-2 q}
$$

which completes the proof.

Corollary. If $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{TUHC}_{6}[2 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}]$ is a zig-zag polyhex nanotube and $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ are positive integer then $\operatorname{PI}(\mathrm{T})=\left.\frac{d}{d x} \operatorname{PI}(\mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{x})\right|_{\mathrm{x}=1}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}p^{2}\left(9 q^{2}-7 q+2\right)-4 p q^{2} & q \leq p \\ p^{2}\left(9 q^{2}-15 q+4 p-2\right)+4 p q & q \geq p\end{array}\right.$.
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